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## Brady Blackett

Englewood, CO
Coca-Cola, CarMax
Oliver Castelino
Manlius, NY
Potash, GIII Apparel

## Samuel DeLemos

Nashville, TN
Amazon.com, Flowserve

## Matthew Elijah

Tiburon, CA
KeyCorp, Priceline

## Anand Hingway

Nagpur, India
Dick's Sporting Goods, Gilead Sciences

## Kristin Iyer

Fort Collins, CO
Starwood Hotels, Gap
Andrew John
Bellevue, WA
LabCorp, Fuel Systems Solutions

## Paul Karam

Los Angeles, CA
eBay, RBC Bearings

## Ryan Kennedy

Croton, NY
Alliant Technologies, Waste Management

## Michael Kiel

Livonia, MI
Qualcomm, Ford

## Brian Kuske

Naperville, IL
Dollar Tree, Harley-Davidson

## Wenhao Li

Chengdu, China
Continental Resources, Manpower Group

## John Madia

Clarksburg, WV
Discover Financial, PNC

## Andrew Mayer

Arvada, CO
Ecolab, Crown Castle
Brandon McGrath
Denver, CO
Perrigo, AeroVironment

## Paul Mooradian

Shrewsbury, MA
Caterpillar, Dover Corporation

## Jennafer Newcomb

Toms River, NJ
Chevron, Sonic

## Frederick Patterson

Fremont, MI
Google, Polypore

## Laura Ritter

Beverly Hills, MI
Cummins, Williams-Sonoma

## Adrianna Stasiuk

Park Ridge, IL
Halliburton, lululemon athletica

## David Weinstein

Pittsburgh, PA
Teradata, Apple

## Ryan Wong

Diamond Bar, CA
Newmont Mining, Activision Blizzard

## David Young

Seattle, WA
UnitedHealth Group, Assisted Living Concepts

## Presentation Agenda

- Personal Introductions
- Presentation Topics
I. Objectives and Guidelines
II. Economic Environment
III. Security Analysis
IV. Portfolio Performance Evaluation
V. General Information
- Concluding Remarks


## I. OBJECTIVES AND GUIDELINES

## Overview

- Course Overview
- Blend traditional academic objectives with practical experience in portfolio management
- Provide analysts with thorough grounding in process of portfolio management
- Analyst Selection Process
- Analysts are selected from a pool of candidates based on academic record and diverse backgrounds


## Analyst Responsibilities

- Stock Selection
- First Round - Analysts assigned a current portfolio position and complete a comprehensive security analysis
- Second Round - Analysts select a second equity and complete comprehensive security analysis
- Security Analysis
- Company Background
- Industry Analysis
- Fundamental Analysis
- Earnings Forecast
- Technical Analysis

- Valuation Analysis


## Group Responsibilities

- Industry/Sector Analysis
- Industry and sector overviews
- Historical performance
- Outlook
- Economic Analysis
- Recent trends: unemployment, inflation, GDP growth, etc.
- Current events
- Focus on factors with most relevance to portfolio
- Portfolio Performance Analysis
- Performance benchmark comparisons
- Risk-adjusted performance measures
- Industry composition
- Best and worst performers
- Trading Group
- Coordinates trading activity for portfolio
- Works closely with ND Investment Office



## Selection Process

- Analysts discuss inputs, assumptions, and drivers
- Analysts give buy, sell, or hold recommendations based on security analysis performed and intrinsic valuations
- Final portfolio decisions are voted upon and require majority vote of all analysts
- Long/short hedge position established


## InVESTMENT PHILOSOPHY

- Investment objective
- Outperform S\&P 500 over long time horizon
- Outperform secondary indices, Russell 2000 and HBI ( $65 \%$ S\&P 500 + 35\% Russell 2000)
- Risk managed by diversification and allocation between small, mid, and large-cap stocks
- Investment decisions
- Fundamental analysis
- Bottom-up approach



## Investment Policies

- Only equities traded on major US exchanges
- No bonds, ADRs, or derivative instruments
- No margin purchases or short selling
- Portfolio target allocation
- $35 \%$ in small (<\$1B) and mid-cap (\$1B-\$5B) stocks
- $65 \%$ in large cap (>\$5B) stocks
- +/- $10 \%$ boundary
- $0 \%$ long-term target for cash holdings
- No individual stock position will exceed $10 \%$ of portfolio


## Market Capitalization



## Ethical Policies

- AIM will not invest in any company whose values are not consistent with those of the University of Notre Dame
- Includes but is not limited to
- Abortifacients
- Birth Control
- Tobacco

- Perrigo was removed from portfolio for their involvement with abortifacients


## II. ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

## Economic Discussion

- Current Economic Environment
- GDP Projections
- Consumption
- Housing
- Net Exports
- Unemployment
- Inflation
- Effect on AIM Portfolio


## Failure to Recover



## OUR Forecast

## Annualized GDP Growth with 2012 Forecast



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Lack of Consumption

## Growth of GDP Components



Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Private Consumption
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## Private Consumption



## Private Consumption



## Housing Sector

## Have we reached a bottom in housing prices?

Case Shiller Composite 10 Index and YOY
Percentage Change: 1988-2012

"The crash is over" - Mark Zandi, Moody's Chief Economist 4/25/12
Source: Standard and Poor's, Derived

## Housing Sector

## Will housing leap forward?

Builder Confidence and Housing Starts: 1985-2012

*Builder Confidence is a housing market index compiled by Wells Fargo/NAHB monthly
Source: NAHB/Wells Fargo Housing Market Index, U.S. Census Bureau, NBER.

## Housing Sector

## Will housing leap forward?

Builder Confidence and Housing Starts: 2006-2013E

*Builder Confidence is a housing market index compiled by Wells Fargo/NAHB monthly
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## Housing Sector

Foreclosures causing a "gap"
Existing and New Home Sales: 2003-2/2012
Seasonally Adjusted Data


## Housing Sector

# Bottom is not really here... prices have more room to fall 

Mortgage Delinquencies and Foreclosures by Period Past Due, All Loans
Outstanding: 2002-2011


Source: MBA National Delinquency Survey, Lender Processing Services

## Net Exports

Net Exports of Goods and Services since 1975


Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

## International Effects

## China

- Industrial growth slowing at approximately $11.4 \%$ versus normal 15\%
- Trade to European Union grew 4.7\% year-over-year, but fell $1.1 \%$ for the first two months of 2012
- Rising domestic labor costs threaten slowing international trade


## HSBC Flash Manufacturing PMI



- Growth forecasts continue to be cut
- Higher costs of borrowing despite successful Spanish and Italian auctions
- Dutch PM Mark Rutte's resignation signifies trouble in AAA-rated country

European Countries' Unemployment Rate


## UNEMPLOYMENT



## UnEMPLOYMENT

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

## Mean Duration of Unemployment



Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

## INFLATION

## Consumer Price Index (\% change)



- Fed maintaining 0\%-0.25\% Fed Funds rate through 2014
- Anticipate 3\% annual inflation rate in 2012 due to slower recovery


## Portfolio Impact

## Less Supportive

## More Supportive

- Dick’s Sporting Goods
- Activision

Blizzard

- Alliant Tech
- AeroVironment • Lab Corp
- Coca-Cola
- Williams-Sonoma
- eBay
- Apple
- KeyCorp
- PNC Financial
- Discover Financial
- Gilead Sciences
- Google
- CarMax Inc
- Cummins
- Caterpillar
- Flowserve
- Qualcomm
- Teradata Corp
- Dollar Tree
- Potash
- Chevron
- Continental Resources
- Halliburton


## III. SECURITY ANALYSIS

## Security Selection Process

- Bottom-up approach to security selection
- Analysts complete seven reports that address the following areas of concern:
- Company Background
- Industry Analysis
- Fundamental Analysis
- Beta
- Earnings Forecast
- Final Valuation and Recommendation
- Technical Analysis
- All seven reports are considered and each analyst makes a buy, sell, or hold recommendation


## Analyst Agenda

| Analyst | Stock | Focus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sam DeLemos | Flowserve | Fundamentals |
| David Weinstein | Apple | Earnings |
| John Madia | PNC Financial Services | Multiples Valuation |
| Brandon McGrath | AeroVironment | Multiples Valuation |
| Adrianna Stasiuk | lululemon athletica | Discovery |
| Andy John | Fuel Systems Solutions | Scenario Analysis |
| Brady Blackett | CarMax | Technical Analysis |

## Flowserve Corporation



## FLS: 1 Year Price Chart



## Ratio Analysis

## Ratio analysis reveals significant management effect

| Key Ratios $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Return on Equity | $\mathbf{1 8 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| Industry Average | $11.60 \%$ |
| Capital Turnover | $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 x}$ |
| Industry Average | 0.90 x |
| Gross Profit Margin | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 6 \%}$ |
| Industry Average | $19.70 \%$ |
| Net Profit Margin | $\mathbf{9 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Industry Average | $3.40 \%$ |

- Focus on industries/economies with strong demand
- Protect gross margins through product mix and differentiation
- Significant outperformance on the bottom line
- Low financial risk and operating volatility translate into high riskadjusted returns


## Valuation \& Thesis

| Recommendation - Buy |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| Discounted Cash Flow | $80 \%$ | $\$ 126.88$ | $\$ 101.50$ |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $10 \%$ | $\$ 127.58$ | $\$ 12.76$ |
| Forward Price/Sales | $10 \%$ | $\$ 116.69$ | $\$ 11.67$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 125.93$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 113.46$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  |  | $11.0 \%$ |

## Investment Thesis:

- Demonstrated ability to capture efficiencies and increase sales, while mitigating risks through revenue mix diversification
- Multiple expansion as market recognizes the relative value of this best in breed operator



## AAPL: 1 Year Price Chart

| Price: | $\$ 603.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Market Cap: | $\$ 563.8 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| P/E (FCY): | 13.4 x |
| EPS (TTM): | $\$ 41.01$ |
| Analyst Coverage: | 53 |



FCY = Forward Calendar Year
TTM = Trailing Twelve Months

## EARNINGS

## Highlights

## 2012 Q1 (CY):

- 35.1M iPhones
- 11.8M iPads
- Gross Margin: $47.4 \%$


## 2011 Q4 (CY):

- 37.0M iPhones
- 15.4M iPads
- Gross Margin: $44.7 \%$



## AAPL: EPS - Reported vs. Consensus



## COMPETITION

## Industry Volatility




Source: comScore, Nielsen

## Historical Comparison
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## Valuation \& Thesis

Recommendation - Sell

| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $25 \%$ | $\$ 574.73$ | $\$ 143.68$ |
| Discounted Cash Flow | $75 \%$ | $\$ 525.86$ | $\$ 394.40$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 538.08$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 608.34$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  |  | $(\mathbf{1 1 . 6 \% )}$ |

## Investment Thesis:

- Record earnings bring sky-high expectations in a volatile industry
- Consumer-oriented products lack stickiness
- AAPL is the $800-\mathrm{lb}$ gorilla in the room
- No more Jobs


## PNC Financial Services



Price:
$\$ 66.78$
Market Cap:
\$35.3B
P/E (FFY):
EPS (TTM): 10.3x

Analyst Coverage:
\$6.05
38


FFY = Forward Fiscal Year
TTM = Trailing Twelve Months

## Key Assumptions

## Net Interest Margin

- Margin compression
- ST rates near zero
- Increased industry competition

PNC: NIM and 5 Year Treasury -
3 Month LIBOR Spread Analysis


## Net Charge-Offs

- Historically less than peer group
- Return to normal levels with time

PNC: Net Charge-Offs to Average Total
Loans Analysis


Source: Capital IQ, Company Financial Statements

## Implied Forward P/E

- Currently trading at 10.0 x
- Normal trading at $13.3 x$

PNC: Implied Forward P/E


## Price / Tangible Book Value

- Currently trading at 1.42 x
- Peer group mean: 1.54 x
- Peer group median: 1.27 x


Source: Capital IQ, Company Financial Statements

## Valuation \& Thesis

|  | Recommendation - Buy |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $25 \%$ | $\$ 80.80$ | $\$ 20.20$ |
| Price/Tangible Book Value | $25 \%$ | $\$ 71.01$ | $\$ 17.75$ |
| Discounted Cash Flow to Equity | $50 \%$ | $\$ 87.21$ | $\$ 43.61$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 81.56$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 63.78$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  |  | $27.9 \%$ |

## Investment Thesis:

- Strong performing assets and extremely well capitalized
- Ability to make acquisitions at good multiples, disciplined to pass unless a cultural fit


| Price: | $\$ 24.40$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Market Cap: | $\$ 532 \mathrm{M}$ |
| P/E (FFY): | $\mathbf{1 6 . 8 x}$ |
| EPS (TTM): | $\$ 1.36$ |

Analyst Coverage:

## AVAV: 1 Year Price Chart



## Business Description

## Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)



- Focus on production of Small UAS
- Awarded every major Small UAS contract by the Dept. of Defense

Electric Vehicle Charging


- Passenger and industrial vehicle charging stations
- Partner with auto manufacturers


## Multiple Analysis: P/E

Implied Forward P/E Comparison


| AVAV |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Subjective forward P/E Multiple | 19.0 x |
| FYE 2013 EPS | $\$ 1.43$ |
| Implied Value | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 . 1 7}$ |

## Multiple Analysis: EV / EBITDA

## Takeover Target: EV / EBITDA Multiple

UIC EV / EBITDA Multiple
Multiple Weight ContributionAvg. Multiple 2011 Defense12.0x 60\%$7.2 x$
$9.5 x$ 40\% ..... $3.8 x$
Subjective EV / EBITDA Multiple ..... 11.0x
AVAV LTM EBITDA (\$ millions) ..... \$57
AVAV Implied EV ..... \$630
LTD (\$ millions) ..... \$12
Cash (\$ millions) ..... \$154
Net Cash/(Debt) (\$ millions) ..... \$143
Implied MV of Equity (\$ millions) ..... $\$ 773$
Weighted Average Diluted Shares (millions) ..... 22.2
Implied Value Per Share ..... $\$ 34.81$
Stock Price (4/18/12) voting day ..... \$25.08
Control Premium ..... 38.80\%

## Valuation \& Thesis

|  | Recommendation - Buy |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $35 \%$ | $\$ 27.14$ | $\$ 9.50$ |
| EV/EBITDA | $35 \%$ | $\$ 34.81$ | $\$ 12.18$ |
| Discounted Cash Flow | $30 \%$ | $\$ 48.58$ | $\$ 14.57$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 36.25$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 25.08$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  |  | $44.5 \%$ |

## Investment Thesis:

- Positioned to capitalize from high growth in UAS and electric vehicle markets
- Limited downside due to relative insulation from budget cuts and stronghold on small UAS with DOD


## LULULEMON ATHLETICA, INC.



## LULU: 1 Year Price Chart

| Price: | $\$ 74.71$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Market Cap: | $\$ 10.9 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| P/E $($ FFY $):$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 6 x}$ |
| EPS (TTM): | $\$ 1.29$ |
| Analyst Coverage: | 25 |



## Forecast Implications

## Disciplined Growth

Economic Moat

- 300+ stores by 2016
- Showroom model
- $\$ 2,000$ sales $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ in 2011
- High energy, "kitchen party" feel



## DISCOVERY

## Assistant Store Manager

Nicole Crippen - Assistant Manager, SoHo store, NYC


## Channel Check

Customer - North Halsted Street store, Chicago, IL


## Valuation \& Thesis

|  | Recommendation - Don't Buy |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $30 \%$ | $\$ 63.87$ | $\$ 19.16$ |
| Forward Price/Sales | $30 \%$ | $\$ 83.58$ | $\$ 25.07$ |
| Price/Book Value | $10 \%$ | $\$ 87.91$ | $\$ 8.79$ |
| Discounted Cash Flow | $30 \%$ | $\$ 58.07$ | $\$ 17.42$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 70.45$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 74.78$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  |  | $\mathbf{( 6 . 0 \% )}$ |

## Investment Thesis:

- Great company, bad stock
- Well managed company slated for high growth
- Currently trading at rich multiples


## Fuel Systems Solutions



## Price:

Market Cap: $\quad \$ 482.7 \mathrm{M}$
P/E (FFY):
EPS (TTM):
Analyst Coverage:
$\$ 24.12$
$\$ 482.7 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathbf{4 1 . 6 x}$
$\$ 0.26$
7

## FSYS: 1 Year Price Chart



## Scenario Analysis



AFV technology unpopular FSYS sales limited to Fleet vehicle, Industrial buyers

Tech: Leapfrogged by competition

AFV adoption slow, steady
Legislation: NAT GAS act

Steady infrastructure build: CLNE, GE, CHK

Ford, GM relationships
No US government catalyst

## Scenario Analysis



## US Light Vehicle Sales Forecast

|  | 2011 | 2012 E | 2013E | 2014E | 2015E | 2016E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Base | 5,630 | 6,788 | 11,668 | 23,199 | 35,785 | 50,691 |
| Best | 5,630 | 7,367 | 16,230 | 48,682 | 67,553 | 71,454 |
| Worst | 5,630 | 6,209 | 8,020 | 8,771 | 10,156 | 11,036 |

Source: Company Financials, Analyst Derived

## Corporate Governance

## Related Party Transactions

- $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 M}$ in Related Party Transactions (2011)
- CEO/family has $30 \%$ + ownership: $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 M}$
- CEO/family has $85 \%$ + ownership: \$3M
- Common themes in '09-' 10


## Other Governance Issues

- $\$ 1.8 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{yr}$ in Lease payments to CEO/family
- $\quad \$ 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in loans to Rohan BRC (related party), all written off
- CEO serves on 7 related party Boards
- Foreign Subs: Business Interests in Iran
"We cannot assure you that the terms of the transactions with these various related parties are on terms as favorable to us as those that could have been obtained in arm's-length transactions with third parties..." - FSYS 2011 10-K


## Valuation \& Thesis

|  | Recommendation - Don't Buy |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| DCF - Base | $30 \%$ | $\$ 23.25$ | $\$ 6.98$ |
| DCF - Best | $5 \%$ | $\$ 50.07$ | $\$ 2.50$ |
| DCF - Worst | $30 \%$ | $\$ 11.20$ | $\$ 3.36$ |
| Takeover Valuation | $15 \%$ | $\$ 47.13$ | $\$ 7.07$ |
| Forward Price/Sales | $10 \%$ | $\$ 26.47$ | $\$ 2.65$ |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $10 \%$ | $\$ 21.80$ | $\$ 2.18$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 24.74$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 22.05$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 \%}$ |  |

## Investment Thesis:

- Bullish on company and industry fundamentals
- Don't Buy for FSYS => weak Corporate Governance, high fraud risk


## CarMax, Inc.

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Price: | $\$ 31.30$ |
| Market Cap: | $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| P/E (FFY): | 14.8 x |
| EPS (TTM): | $\$ 1.79$ |
| Analyst Coverage: | 15 |



## Company Background

## Description

- Largest and only nationwide used car retailer in the USA
- $99 \%+$ sale rate of used car inventory
- Major player in auction business
- $97 \%$ sale rate at wholesale
- 110 stores in 52 US markets
- Double the market share of nearest competitor
- Distinct competitive advantages
- No haggle pricing
- Pricing algorithm
- Reconditioning process
- National scale


## Revenue Information

- \$10B in revenues
- $7.4 \%$ revenue CAGR 2006 - 2011 vs. industry decline of $6.7 \% /$ year
- $90 \%$ of revenue from $0-6$ yr. old cars with less than 60 k miles


FY2012 revenue by type

## Technical Analysis

## 50/200 Day Moving Average - 1 Year

$$
\text { —Adj. Close - } 50 \text { day SMA } \quad 200 \text { day SMA }
$$



BUY: Golden Cross in early 2012

## Technical Analysis

## Bollinger Bands - 1 Year



BUY: Crossed lower band in April

## Technical Analysis

## Support \& Resistance - 1 Year



BUY: Currently trading at support level

## Valuation \& Thesis

Recommendation - Buy

| Valuation Method | Weight | Value | Contribution |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discounted Cash Flow | $60 \%$ | $\$ 39.75$ | $\$ 23.85$ |
| Forward Price/Earnings | $30 \%$ | $\$ 34.57$ | $\$ 10.37$ |
| Forward Price/Sales | $10 \%$ | $\$ 33.27$ | $\$ 3.33$ |
| Final Valuation |  |  | $\$ 37.55$ |
| Market Price (4/18/12) |  |  | $\$ 31.74$ |
| Estimated Upside/(Downside) |  | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 \%}$ |  |

## Investment Thesis:

- Most dominant player in the industry with increasing competitive advantages
- Room in market to more than double its store count


## IV. PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

## Return Comparison



## Performance of \$100 Invested on 1/1/96



## Historical Returns

AIM Portfolio Returns vs. Benchmark Returns (Annualized)

$-5 \%$

■ Stud Inv II

- HBI

■S\&P 500-Total Return Index
■ Russell 2000 Index

AIM Sector Weights vs. Benchmarks


## Performance Measures

## Sharpe Ratio

- Risk-Reward performance measure, indicating excess return per unit of variation in investment returns

$$
S=\frac{\left(R_{p}-R_{f}\right)}{\sigma_{p}}
$$

Where:
$R_{p}$ is the portfolio return
$R_{f}$ is the risk-free rate
$\sigma_{p}$ is the standard deviation of the portfolio

## Performance Measures

Sharpe Ratio Comparison


## Performance Measures

## Jensen's Alpha

- Measures Portfolio's Excess Return on a Risk Adjusted Basis (CAPM)
- Formula:

$$
\alpha_{\text {Jensen }}=R_{p}-\left[R_{f}+\beta_{p} *\left(R_{M}-R_{f}\right)\right]
$$

Where:
$R_{p}$ is the portfolio return
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the risk-free rate
$\beta_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the portfolio beta
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the market return

## Performance Measures

Annualized Jensen's Alpha


## Attribution Analysis



## Attribution Analysis



## Attribution Analysis



## 5-Year Attribution by Sector



## CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME


Source: Mellon Workbench

## 5-Year Attribution by Sector




Source: Mellon Workbench

## Worst Performing Stocks

## LTM Return (as of 4/15/12)



## Best Performing Stocks

## LTM Return (as of 4/15/12)



## Current Portfolio Alert

## Policy and Procedure:

The portfolio target allocation is:

- $35 \%$ in Small ( $<\$ 1$ billion) \& Mid-Cap stocks (\$1-\$5 billion)
- $65 \%$ in Large Cap stocks ( $>\$ 5$ billion)
- (With a $+/-10 \%$ boundary)

| Current Weighting |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Small \& Mid-Cap | Large Cap |
| $11.5 \%$ | $88.5 \%$ |

Using the current definitions and guidelines, the portfolio is too heavily weighted in large cap stocks.

## Portfolio Proposal

New definitions at the suggestion of AIM XXXIV:
"Small Cap" - market capitalizations of less than $\$ 2$ billion
"Mid-Cap" - market capitalizations from $\$ 2$ billion to $\$ 10$ billion
"Large Cap" - market capitalizations over $\$ 10$ billion

| With New Weighting |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Small \& Mid-Cap | Large Cap |
| $30.8 \%$ | $69.2 \%$ |

## Portfolio Turnover

## BUY

- Activision Blizzard (ATVI)
- AeroVironment Inc. (AVAV)
- Carmax (KMX)
- Flowserve Corp. (FLS)
- PNC Financial Services (PNC)
- Williams-Sonoma (WSM)


## SELL

- Amazon (AMZN)
- Ecolab Inc. (ECL)
- Newmont Mining (NEM)
- RBC Bearings (ROLL)
- Sonic Corp. (SONC)
- Starwood Hotels (HOT)


## HOLD

- Alliant Tech Systems (ATK)
- Apple (AAPL)
- Caterpillar (CAT)
- Chevron (CVX)
- Coca Cola (KO)
- Continental Resources (CLR)
- Cummins (CMI)
- Dick's Sporting Goods (DKS)
- Discover Financial Services (DFS)
- Dollar Tree (DLTR)
- eBay (EBAY)
- Gilead Sciences (GILD)
- Google (GOOG)
- Halliburton (HAL)
- KeyCorp (KEY)
- LabCorp (LH)
- Potash Corp. (POT)
- QualComm (QCOM)
- Teradata Corp. (TDC)
- United Health Group (UNH)


## Portfolio Purchases

| Company | Ticker | Price as of 4/20/12 | Intrinsic Value | Upside |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activision Blizzard | ATVI | \$12.20 | \$14.23 | 17\% |
| AeroVironment Inc. | AVAV | \$24.74 | \$36.25 | 47\% |
| CarMax Inc. | KMX | \$31.49 | \$37.54 | 19\% |
| Flowserve Corp. | FLS | \$111.52 | \$125.93 | 13\% |
| PNC Financial Services | PNC | \$65.38 | \$81.37 | 24\% |
| Williams-Sonoma | WSM | \$38.39 | \$46.10 | 20\% |

## Portfolio Sells

| Company | Ticker | Price as of <br> $\mathbf{4 / 2 0 / 1 2}$ | Intrinsic <br> Value | Upside/(Downside) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amazon | AMZN | $\$ 189.98$ | $\$ 190.56$ | $0 \%$ |
| EcoLab Inc. | ECL | $\$ 62.22$ | $\$ 62.65$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Newmont Mining | NEM | $\$ 47.25$ | $\$ 58.21$ | $23 \%$ |
| RBC Bearings | ROLL | $\$ 44.48$ | $\$ 43.97$ | $(1 \%)$ |
| Sonic Corp. | SONC | $\$ 7.00$ | $\$ 7.40$ | $6 \%$ |
| Starwood Hotels | HOT | $\$ 57.61$ | $\$ 49.17$ | $(15 \%)$ |

## V. GENERAL INFORMATION

## General Information

- Chicago Trip
- In Class Speakers
- Newsletter
- AIM Website


AIM XXXIV in Chicago

## Chicago Trip: March $29^{\text {TH }} \& 30^{\text {TH }}$

wnvantror NOTRE DAME

- Dinner with 28 AIM Alumni
- Checked in on other ND Investments
- Palmer House Hilton
- Santa Fe Building
- Visited four firms
- RoundTable Healthcare Partners
- R.W. Baird—Equity Research Group
- Grosvenor Capital Management

- Alvarez \& Marsal


## Chicago Trip: March $29^{\text {TH }} \& 30^{\text {TH }}$

- David J. Koo, ND BBA ‘93
- Senior Partner
- David E. Tarantino, ND BS ‘93
- Associate Director of Research



## Chicago Trip: March $29^{\text {TH }} \& 30^{\text {TH }}$

## GROSVENOR

Capital Management, L.P.

- Greg Salter, ND BBA ‘10
- Associate
- Eric Lorge, ND BBA ‘96
- Managing Director


Alvarez \& Marsal

## Guest Speakers

- Edward R. Trubac
- Professor, Mendoza College of Business
- John Loesch (ND MBA '07, AIM Alum.) \& Tyler Ventura (ND MBA '07, AIM Alum.)
- Research Analysts, Diamond Hill Capital Management
- Frank Timons (ND BA ‘91, JD ‘94)
- Portfolio Manager, Lord Abbett \& Co
- Gary Dagres (ND MBA ‘11, AIM Alum.)
- Associate, GE Aviation Business Development


## NewsLETTER

## Objective:

- Alumni News
- Portfolio Performance
- Economic Outlook


## AIM QUARTERLY



Greetings from AIM XXXIV

Greetings from South Bend.
Micterms are now in the
rearview mirror, and we are all looking ahead to graduation

Under the guidance of
Professors Jerry Langley, Bill McDonald, and Frank Reilly, the AM XXXXIV aralyste recertly Momiv analysts recently submitted their second round aluations. Al that is left is to decide which stoc

The class recently took a trip to Chicago. The trip began with a dinner with AlM alumni, where we swapped stories about the Notre Dame and the class. The next day we visited the offices of RoundTable Heathcare Partners (PE firm), R W Baird Grosvenor Capital Manasement (alternative investments) and Alvarez \& Marsal (restructuring advisory). Overall the trip was informative and an excellent


## AIM Website

- News and Events
- Analysts
- Portfolio Performance
- Publications
- Alumni
- Career Opportunities
- Upcoming Events
- Social Networking
- Facebook
- Linkedin
- Irish Online (MyNotreDame)
- AIM.ND.EDU



## Thank You

We would like to extend a special thank you to:

- The Advisory Board for their input and support
- Scott Malpass and the Notre Dame Investment Office for the opportunity to participate in such a unique and valuable course
- Professors McDonald, Langley, and Reilly for their guidance and knowledge
- Rachel Karnafel for administrative support

Post - Presentation Agenda

- Food \& refreshments
- Advisory Board panel discussion



## AIM XXXIV



## Final Portfolio as of 4/18/12

| Company | Ticker | \# Shares | Price | Total Value $\%$ of Portfolio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activision Blizzard | ATVI | 21,000 | $\$ 12.31$ | $\$ 258,510$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| AeroVironment | AVAV | 10,600 | $\$ 24.85$ | $\$ 263,410$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Alliant Tech Systems | ATK | 4,600 | $\$ 51.94$ | $\$ 238,924$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| Apple | AAPL | 400 | $\$ 585.15$ | $\$ 234,060$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| CarMax Inc | KMX | 7,900 | $\$ 31.48$ | $\$ 248,692$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Caterpillar | CAT | 2,500 | $\$ 108.11$ | $\$ 270,275$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Chevron | CVX | 2,250 | $\$ 102.55$ | $\$ 230,738$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Coca Cola | KO | 3,100 | $\$ 74.17$ | $\$ 229,927$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Continental Resources | CLR | 3,200 | $\$ 85.62$ | $\$ 273,984$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Cummins | CMI | 2,400 | $\$ 116.12$ | $\$ 278,688$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | DKS | 4,900 | $\$ 50.60$ | $\$ 247,940$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Discover Financial Services | DFS | 8,600 | $\$ 33.08$ | $\$ 284,488$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Dollar Tree | DLTR | 2,500 | $\$ 99.31$ | $\$ 248,275$ | $3.8 \%$ |

## Final Portfolio (CONT’D)

| Company | Ticker | \# Shares | Price | Total Value | \% of Portfolio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eBay | EBAY | 6,800 | $\$ 40.65$ | $\$ 276,420$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Flowserve Corp | FLS | 2,300 | $\$ 111.87$ | $\$ 257,301$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Gilead Sciences | GILD | 5,500 | $\$ 51.78$ | $\$ 284,790$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Google | GOOG | 340 | $\$ 605.97$ | $\$ 206,030$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Halliburton | HAL | 7,500 | $\$ 33.73$ | $\$ 252,975$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| KeyCorp | KEY | 28,000 | $\$ 7.81$ | $\$ 218,680$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Lab Corp | LH | 2,500 | $\$ 88.43$ | $\$ 221,075$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| PNC Financial Services | PNC | 4,300 | $\$ 64.87$ | $\$ 278,941$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Potash | POT | 5,000 | $\$ 43.99$ | $\$ 219,950$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| QualComm | QCOM | 4,100 | $\$ 62.91$ | $\$ 257,931$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Teradata Corp | TDC | 4,000 | $\$ 69.44$ | $\$ 277,760$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| United Health Group | UNH | 4,800 | $\$ 59.42$ | $\$ 285,216$ | $4.3 \%$ |
| Williams-Sonoma | WSM | 6,900 | $\$ 38.38$ | $\$ 264,822$ | $4.0 \%$ |


[^0]:    Source: NAHB/Wells Fargo Housing Market Index, U.S. Census Bureau, NBER.

[^1]:    *MSFT price data from Dec-1996 to Dec-2002
    **AAPL price data from Apr-2009 to Apr-2012

